

TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION

Structure of the database with the results of the multivariant factor decomposition of GVA

by Dariusz Kotlewski, PhD in co-operation with: Mirosław Błażej

(December 2023)

The database with the results of the multivariant factor decomposition of GVA consists of four result files prepared in Excel format. Each file consists of four worksheets. Each of the four worksheets in Excel files I and IV¹, which relate to the decomposition of the gross value added (GVA) growth rates into factor remuneration contributions, consists of tables grouped into 24 columns. Excel files II and III, which relate to the decomposition of GVA growth rates into factor resources (or stocks) contributions and TFP (total factor productivity), consist of tables grouped into 19 columns.

Figure 1. Worksheet for the factor decomposition of GVA growth rate into factor remuneration contributions in Excel files I and IV for employed persons (Z) and for working persons (P).

UP		RK		Dec ∆GVA				Dec ∆GVA/ZvP				Dec O GVA/ZvP			Dec ∆O GVA/ZvP								
Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables	Tables
Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums	Sums

Each of the four worksheets of Excel file I for employed persons and the four worksheets of Excel file IV for working persons concerning the decomposition of GVA growth rate into factor remuneration contributions have the structure as presented in Figure 1. The colours make it easier to navigate through the extensive worksheets. The meaning of the abbreviations in the column group headings in the diagram is as follows:

- UP labour share;
- RK gross capital profitability;

¹ Numbering was adopted in the order of their execution.

- Dec ΔGVA decomposition of aggregate GVA growth rate into contributions of production factor remuneration;
- Dec ΔGVA/ZvP decomposition of gross GVA growth rate per employed person (Z) in File I or per working person (P) in File IV into contributions of production factor remuneration;
- Dec O GVA/ZvP decomposition of deviations from the national average GVA per employed person
 (Z) in file I or per working person (P) in file IV into contributions of production factor remuneration;
- Dec ΔO GVA/ZvP decomposition of changes in deviations from the national average GVA per employed person (Z) in file I or per working person (P) in file IV into contributions of production factor remuneration.

These titles are written in full in the column group headings in Excel files I and IV. Each column with a series of tables ends with a bold highlighted table with a summary of the column (the rectangles with the word 'sums' in Figure 1). The individual table headings make it additionally easier for the user to remain aware which part of the worksheet they are browsing.

In the first worksheet, the heads of the tables show the voivodships, and the sides show the subsequent years. The tables are arranged vertically according to subsequent sections or statistically available (for the needs of the project) groups of sections. In the second worksheet, sections or statistically available groups of sections are presented in the heads of the tables and the subsequent years are on the sides. The tables are arranged vertically, according to voivodships. In principle, this worksheet is redundant in relation to the first one; however, using it when plotting sections (as for voivodships in time) will make the work much easier (as moving to distant places in the worksheet becomes unnecessary). In the third sheet, voivodships were placed in the heads, while the sections or statistically available groups of sections were placed on the sides. The tables are arranged vertically by successive years. This worksheet allows the performance of in-depth analyses for specific individual years on graphs, in which voivodships are presented on the horizontal axis instead of the consecutive years. In the fourth worksheet, the tables from the third worksheet are transposed (sections are placed in the heads instead of provinces, and vice versa on the sides). Although this worksheet is redundant in relation to the third one, it has been left in the database in case the user prefers this kind of data arrangement. All the data collected in the four sheets are identical, but distributed differently. In the first and second worksheet, time charts (thumbnails) have been created inside individual tables, under each column containing the data to facilitate a quick interpretation of the figures in the tables.

Due to the fact that the calculation of growth rates requires data from the previous year, some empty tables or empty rows can be found in the sheets for the year 2000. The tables for 2008 have been repeated because in some cases the result data are different. It depends on whether the source data come from the NACE Rev.1.1 or NACE Rev. 2 classification system, which are both available for the year 2008. In order to calculate phenomena occurring up to 2008, one should use the tables located above, which sometimes uses source data from the NACE Rev. 1.1 system². In turn, in order to calculate e.g. growth rates for 2009, one should use the tables located below, which are fully based on the NACE Rev. 2 classification system. It should be noted that

² It refers to those source data which have not been systemically converted by Statistics Poland into NACE Rev. 2.

at the level of individual cells, the differences between the upper and lower tables for 2008 may be sometimes significant.

The structure of the result tables for the decomposition taking into account the extraction of TFP looks slightly different. Excel files II and III concern the decomposition into contributions of factor resources (stocks) and the TFP contribution; file II is for the employed and file III for working persons. Both of these files consist of four worksheets. In each worksheet, the tables have been grouped into 19 columns (there are slightly fewer than in files I and IV, as it was unnecessary to duplicate the first four columns from the Excel files on the decomposition into factor remuneration; moreover, as formally explained in the monograph³, some of the final mathematical formulae have become shorter).

Each of the four worksheets of the Excel file for employed persons (II) and the four worksheets of the Excel file for working persons (III), concerning the decomposition into contributions of factor resources (stocks) and TFP, has the same structure as in Figure 2. Here too, colours have been used to make the extensive worksheets easier to navigate. The abbreviations in the heads are explained below:

- Dec ΔGVA decomposition of aggregate GVA growth rate into contributions of factor resources (stocks) and TFP;
- Dec ΔGVA/ZvP decomposition of GVA growth rate per employed person (Z) in file II or per working person (P) in file III into contributions of capital and TFP;
- Dec O GVA/ZvP decomposition of deviations from national average GVA per employed person (Z) in
 File II or per working person (P) in File III into contributions of capital and TFP;
- Dec ΔO GVA/ZvP decomposition of changes in deviations from national average GVA per employed person (Z) in File II or per working person (P) in File III into contributions of capital and TFP.

In the above operations, the labour input gets shortened in the decomposition formulae per employee (Z) in File II or per worker (P) in File III. Therefore, there are only two contributions of capital and TFP⁴.

Figure 2. Worksheet for the factor decomposition of GVA growth rate into factor resources (stocks) contributions and TFP contribution in Excel files II for employed persons (Z) and III for working persons (P).



The titles are written in full in the attached Excel files. Each column with a series of tables ends with a table which contains a summary of the column (a rectangle labelled 'sums' in Figure 2). The structure of Excel files

³ See: Kotlewski D. (2021), KLEMS productivity accounting for the Polish economy, Statistical Research Papers,

Statistics Poland.

⁴ Ibidem, pp. 119-129.

II and III for the decomposition into factor resources contributions and the TFP contribution is otherwise similar to the two previously described Excel files (I and IV).

The diagram below clearly illustrates how the four Excel files (I, II, III and IV), each with four worksheets of result data arranged in groups of columns, relate to each other.





As Figure 3 demonstrates, after the completion of the first stage (file I, related to the decomposition into factor remuneration contributions, for the labour factor considered as employed persons), stage two (file II, related to the decomposition into factor resources (stocks) contributions and TFP contribution) and stage three (file III, related to the conversion of stage two into accounting made for the labour factor considered as working persons) were completed. It is also possible to convert stage one (file I) into accounting made for the labour factor understood as working persons (file IV), which is indicated by a lighter horizontal arrow in Figure 3. Theoretically, following this route (which in Figure 3 is indicated by a vertical arrow with a dashed outline), stage three could also be reached. Table 1 is a collection of 86 computing operations (final algorithms) corresponding to the 86 groups of columns from Figures 1 and 2 above. The arrangement of Table 1 is conformable with Figure 3.

Accounting for the labour factor understood as employed persons			Accounting for the labour factor understood as working persons				
	Accounting for factor remun	neration (without a TFP extraction)					
	Excel file:		Excel file:				
	I – Decomposition of GVA growth rate into factor remuneration contributions for employed persons (Z)		IV – Decomposition of GVA growth rate into factor remuneration contributions for working persons (P)				
	Labour share		Labour share				
1)	Labour remuneration share (UWP _z) in the GVA (WDB):	1)	Labour remuneration share (UWP _P) in the GVA (WDB):				
,	UWPz = WPz/WDB [percentages].	,	UWP _P = WP _P /WDB [percentages].				
2)	Change in the labour remuneration share (Δ UWP _z) in the GVA (WDB): Δ UWP _z = WP _z /WDB – WP _{z(-1)} /WDB ₍₋₁₎ [percentage points].	2)	Change in the labour remuneration share (ΔUWP_P) in the GVA (WDB): $\Delta UWP_P = WP_P/WDB - WP_{P(-1)}/WDB_{(-1)}$ [percentage points].				
	Gross capital profitability		Gross capital profitability				
3)	Gross capital profitability (RKz) [ratio of residually calculated capital remuneration (WKz) to the capital stock value (K)]: RKz = WKz/K [percentages].	3)	Gross capital profitability (RK _P) [ratio of residually calculated capital remuneration (WK _P) to the capital stock value (K)]: RK_P = WK_P/K [percentages];				
4)	Change in the gross capital profitability: $\Delta RK_z = WK_z/K - WK_{z(-1)}/K_{(-1)}$ [percentage points].	4)	Change in the gross capital profitability: $\Delta RK_P = WK_P/K - WK_{P(-1)}/K_{(-1)}$ [percentage points].				
	Decomposition of the aggregate GVA growth rate into contributions of production factor remuneration		Decomposition of the aggregate GVA growth rate into contributions of production factor remuneration				
5)	Real GVA growth rate: $\delta wdb = \Delta WDB/WDB_{(-1)}$ [percentages], where $\Delta WDB = WDB - WDB_{(-1)}$; WDB is in constant prices and $WDB_{(-1)}$ is in current prices;	5)	Real GVA growth rate: $\delta wdb = \Delta WDB/WDB_{(-1)}$ [percentages], where $\Delta WDB = WDB - WDB_{(-1)}$; WDB is in constant prices and WDB_{(-1)} is in current prices;				

Table 1. List of computational operations of the multivariant factor decomposition of the GVA growth rate

6)	Real labour remuneration growth rate: $\delta wp_z = \Delta WP_z / WP_{z(-1)}$	6)	Real labour remuneration growth rate: $\delta w p_p = \Delta W P_P / W P_{P(-1)}$
	[percentages], where $\Delta WP_z = WP_z - WP_{z(-1)}$, WP_z is in constant prices,		[percentages], where: $\Delta WP_P = WP_P - WP_{P(-1)}$, WP_P is in constant prices,
	WP_{z(-1)} is in current prices.		WP _{P(-1)} is in current prices.
7)	Real capital remuneration growth rate: $\delta wk_z = \Delta WK_z/WK_{Z(-1)}$ [percentages], where: $\Delta WK_z = WK_z - WK_{Z(-1)}$, WK_z is in constant prices and $WK_{Z(-1)}$ in current prices	7)	Real capital remuneration growth rate: $\delta w k_p = \Delta W K_P / W K_{P(-1)}$ [percentages], where: $\Delta W K_P = W K_P - W K_{P(-1)}$, $W K_P$ is in constant prices and $W K_{P(-1)}$ in current prices.
8)	Contribution of labour remuneration in the GVA growth: α _z *δwp _z = δwp _z *(UWP _z +UWP _{z(-1)})/2 [percentage points].	8)	Contribution of labour remuneration in the GVA growth: $\alpha_{p}^{*}\delta w p_{p} = \delta w p_{p}^{*}(UWP_{P}+UWP_{P(-1)})/2$ [percentage points].
9)	Contribution of capital remuneration in GVA growth, theoretically: $\beta_z * \delta w k_z = \delta w k_z * [(1-UWP_z)+(1-UWP_{z(-1)})]/2$ [percentage points], in practice calculated residually based on the assumption that: $\beta_z * \delta w k_z = \delta w db - \alpha_z * \delta w p_z$.	9)	Contribution of capital remuneration in GVA growth, theoretically: $\beta_p * \delta w k_p = \delta w k_p * [(1-UWP_P) + (1-UWP_{P(-1)})]/2$ [percentage points], in practice calculated residually based on the assumption that: $\beta_p * \delta w k_p = \delta w db - \alpha_p * \delta w p_p.$
	Equation 5)=8)+9) is fulfilled. Decomposition of the GVA growth rate per employed person into		Equation 5)=8)+9) is fulfilled. Decomposition of the GVA growth rate per working person into
	contributions of production factor remuneration		contributions of production factor remuneration
10)	 Real GVA growth rate per employed person (Ζ): δwdb/z = Δ(WDB/Z)/(WDB₍₋₁₎/Z₍₋₁₎) [percentages], Δ(WDB/Z) = WDB/Z – WDB₍₋₁₎/Z₍₋₁₎, where WDB is in constant prices and WDB₍₋₁₎ is in current prices. 	10)	 Real GVA growth rate per working person (P): δwdb/p = Δ(WDB/P)/(WDB₍₋₁₎/P₍₋₁₎) [percentages], Δ(WDB/P) = WDB/P – WDB₍₋₁₎/P₍₋₁₎, where WDB is in constant prices and WDB₍₋₁₎ is in current prices.
11)) Real labour remuneration growth rate per <mark>employed person</mark> (Ζ): δwp _z /z = Δ(WP _z /Ζ)/(WP _{z(-1)} /Ζ ₍₋₁₎) [percentages],	11)) Real labour remuneration growth rate per working person (P): $\delta w p_p / p = \Delta (W P_P / P) / (W P_{P(-1)} / P_{(-1)})$ [percentages],

$\Delta(WP_z/Z) = WP_z/Z - WP_{Z(-1)}/Z_{(-1)}$, where WP_z is in constant prices and $WP_{Z(-1)}$ is in current prices.	$\Delta(WP_P/P) = WP_P/P - WP_{P(-1)}/P_{(-1)}$, where WP_P is in constant prices and $WP_{P(-1)}$ is in current prices.
 12) Real capital remuneration growth rate per employed person: δwk_Z/z = Δ(WK_Z/Z)/(WK_{Z(-1)}/Z₍₋₁₎) [percentages], Δ(WK_Z/Z) = WK_Z/Z – WK_{Z(-1)}/Z₍₋₁₎, where WK_Z is in constant prices and WK_{Z(-1)} is in current prices. 	 12) Real capital remuneration growth rate per working person: δwk_P/p = Δ(WK_P/P)/(WK_{P(-1)}/P₍₋₁₎) [percentages], Δ(WK_P/P) = WK_P/P – WK_{P(-1)}/P₍₋₁₎, where WK_P is in constant prices and WK_{P(-1)} is in current prices.
 Contribution of labour remuneration in the GVA growth per employed person: α_z* δwp_z/z = (δwp_z/z)*(UWP_z+UWP_{z(-1)})/2 [percentage points]. 	13) Contribution of labour remuneration in the GVA growth per working person: $\alpha_p^* \delta w p_p / p = (\delta w p_p / p)^* (UWP_P + UWP_{P(-1)}) / 2$ [percentage points].
14) Contribution of capital remuneration in the GVA growth per employed person, theoretically: $\beta_z * \delta w k_z/z = (\delta w k_z/z)*[(1-UWP_z)+(1-UWP_{z(-1)})]/2$ [percentage points], in practice calculated residually based on the assumption that $\beta_z * \delta w k_z/z = \delta w db/z - \alpha_z * \delta w p_z/z$. Equation 10)=13)+14) is fulfilled.	14) Contribution of capital remuneration in the GVA growth per working person, theoretically: $\beta_p * \delta w k_p / p = (\delta w k_p / p) * [(1 - UWP_P) + (1 - UWP_{P(-1)})]/2$ [percentage points], in practice calculated residually based on the assumption that $\beta_p * \delta w k_p / p = \delta w db / p - \alpha_p * \delta w p_p / P$. Equation 10)=13)+14) is fulfilled.
Decomposition of the deviations from the national average GVA per employed person into contributions of factor remuneration	<u>Decomposition of the deviations from the national average GVA pe</u> working person into contributions of factor remuneration
15) Deviation (O) from the national average GVA per employed person: O(WDB/Z) = (WDB _i /Z _i – WDB/Z)/(WDB/Z) [percentages], where i is the variable for a given voivodship, for a given section or for a given voivodship and section.	 15) Deviation (O) from the national average GVA per working person: O(WDB/P) = (WDB_i/P_i – WDB/P)/(WDB/P) [percentages], where i is the variable for a given voivodship, for a given section or for a given voivodship and section.
16) Deviation from the national average labour remuneration per employed person: O(WP _Z /Z) = (WP _{Z,i} /Z _i – WP _Z /Z)/(WP _Z /Z) [percentages].	16) Deviation from the national average labour remuneration per working person: O(WP _P /P) = (WP _P _i /P _i – WP _P /P)/(WP _P /P) [percentages].

17) Deviation from the national average capital remuneration per employed	17) Deviation from the national average capital remuneration per working
<pre>person: O(WKz/Z) = (WKzi/Zi - WKz/Z)/(WKz/Z) [percentages].</pre>	person: O(WK_P/P) = (WK_P,i/Pi – WK_P/P)/(WK_P/P) [percentages].
18) Contribution of labour remuneration in the deviation of the GVA from the	18) Contribution of labour remuneration in the deviation of the GVA from the
national average per employed person: α _z *O(WP _z /Z) = O(WP _z /Z)*UWP _z	national average per working person: α _p *O(WP _P /P) = O(WP _P /P)*UWP _P
[percentage points]; α_z is not calculated intertemporally.	[percentage points]; α_p is not calculated intertemporally.
19) Contribution of capital remuneration in the deviation of the GVA from the	19) Contribution of labour remuneration in the deviation of the GVA from the
national average per <mark>employed person</mark> , theoretically: β z*O(WKz/Z) =	national average per working person, theoretically: β _p *O(WK _P /P) =
$O(WK_z/Z)^*(1-UWP_z)$ [percentage points]; β_z is not calculated	$O(WK_P/P)^*(1-UWP_P)$ [percentage points]; β_p is not calculated
intertemporally; in practice, calculated residually based on the	intertemporally; in practice, calculated residually based on the
assumption that: βz*O(WKz/Z) = O(WDB/Z) – αz*O(WPz/Z).	assumption that: β _p *O(WK _P /P) = O(WDB/P) – α _p *O(WP _P /P).
Equation 15)=18)+19) is fulfilled.	Equation 15)=18)+19) is fulfilled.
Decomposition of the changes in the deviations from the national average GVA per employed person	Decomposition of the changes in the deviations from the national average GVA per working person
20) Change in the deviation from the national average GVA per employed person: Δ O(WDB/Z) = O(WDB/Z) – O(WDB/Z) ₍₋₁₎ [percentage points].	20) Change in the deviation from the national average GVA per working person: Δ O(WDB/P) = O(WDB/P) – O(WDB/P) ₍₋₁₎ [percentage points].
 21) Change in the deviation from the national average labour remuneration per employed person: Δ O(WPz/Z) = O(WPz/Z) – O(WPz(-1)/Z(-1)) [percentage points]. 	 21) Change in the deviation from the national average labour remuneration per working person: Δ O(WP_P/P) = O(WP_P/P) – O(WP_{P(-1)}/P₍₋₁₎) [percentage points].
 22) Change in the deviation from the national average capital remuneration per employed person: Δ O(WK_Z/Z) = O(WK_Z/Z) – O(WK_{Z(-1)}/Z₍₋₁₎) [percentage points]. 	22) Change in the deviation from the national average capital remuneration per working person: $\Delta O(WK_P/P) = O(WK_P/P) - O(WK_{P(-1)}/P_{(-1)})$ [percentage points].

23) Contribution of the labour remuneration in the change in the deviation	23) Contribution of the labour remuneration in the change in the deviation			
	from the national average GVA per employed person:		from the national average GVA per working person:			
	$\alpha_z^*\Delta O(WP_z/Z) = \Delta O(WP_z/Z)^*(UWP_z+UWP_{Z(-1)})/2$ [percentage points].		$\alpha_{P}^{*}\Delta O(WP_{P}/P) = \Delta O(WP_{P}/P)^{*}(UWP_{P}+UWP_{P(-1)})/2$ [percentage points].			
24) Contribution of the capital remuneration in the change in the deviation	24)) Contribution of the capital remuneration in the change in the deviation			
	from the national average GVA per employed person, theoretically:		from the national average GVA per working person, theoretically:			
	$\beta_z^{\Delta O(WK_z/Z)} = \Delta O(WK_z/Z)^{(1-UWP_z)+(1-UWP_{Z(-1)})]/2}$ [percentage		$\beta_{P}^{\Delta O}(WK_{P}/P) = \Delta O(WK_{P}/P)^{(1-UWP_{P})+(1-UWP_{P(-1)})]/2$ [percentage			
	points], in practice calculated residually based on the assumption that:		points], in practice calculated residually based on the assumption that:			
	$\beta_z \Delta O(WK_z/Z) = \Delta O(WDB/Z) - \alpha_z \Delta O(WP_z/Z).$		$\beta_{p}^{*}\Delta O(WK_{P}/P) = \Delta O(WDB/P) - \alpha_{p}^{*}\Delta O(WP_{P}/P).$			
	Equation 20)=23)+24) is fulfilled		Equation 20)=23)+24) is fulfilled			
	Accounting for factor reso	urces (with TFP extraction)				
II - C	Excel file: Decomposition of the GVA growth rate into factor resources and TFP contributions for employed persons (Z)	III - J	Excel file: Decomposition of the GVA growth rate into factor resources and TFP contributions for working persons (P)			
	Decomposition of the real GVA growth rate into contributions of factor		Decomposition of the real GVA growth rate into contributions of factor			
	resources and TFP		resources and TFP			
1)	Real GVA growth rate: $\delta wdb = \Delta WDB/WDB_{(-1)}$ [percentages], where	1)	Real GVA growth rate: $\delta wdb = \Delta WDB/WDB_{(-1)}$ [percentages], where			
,	Δ WDB = WDB – WDB ₍₋₁₎ ; WDB is in constant prices, WDB ₍₋₁₎ is in	,	Δ WDB = WDB – WDB ₍₋₁₎ ; WDB is in constant prices, WDB ₍₋₁₎ is in			
	current prices.		current prices;			
2)	Relative growth in the number of employed persons: $\delta z = \Delta Z/Z_{(-1)}$	2)	Relative growth in the number of working persons: $\delta p = \Delta P/P_{(-1)}$			
	[percentages], where $\Delta Z = Z - Z_{(-1)}$.		[percentages], where $\Delta P = P - P_{(-1)}$.			
3)	Real growth in capital value : $\delta \mathbf{k} = \Delta \mathbf{K} / \mathbf{K}_{(-1)}$ [percentages], where	3)	Real growth in capital value: $\delta \mathbf{k} = \Delta \mathbf{K} / \mathbf{K}_{(-1)}$ [percentages], where			
	$\Delta K = K - K_{(-1)}$, K is in constant prices, $K_{(-1)}$ is in current prices.		$\Delta K = K - K_{(-1)}$, K is in constant prices, $K_{(-1)}$ is in current prices.			
4)	Share of labour remuneration (UWP _z) in the GVA (WDB):	4)	Share of labour remuneration (UWP _P) in the GVA (WDB):			
	UWP _z = WP _z /WDB [percentages].		UWP _P = WP _P /WDB [percentages].			
L		9				

5)	Labour contribution in the GVA growth: $\alpha_z * \delta z = \delta z * (UWP_z + UWP_{z(-1)})/2$	5)	Labour contribution in the GVA growth: $\alpha_p * \delta p = \delta p * (UWP_P + UWP_{P(-1)})/2$
	[percentage points].		[percentage points].
6)	Capital contribution in the GVA growth: $\beta_z \delta = \delta k^* [(1-UWP_z)+(1-$	6)	Capital contribution in the GVA growth: $\beta_{P} \delta k = \delta k^{*}[(1-UWP_{P})+(1-UWP_{P})]$
	UWP _{z(-1)})]/2 [percentage points]; it is assumed that: β_z =1- α_z .		₁₎)]/2 [percentage points]; it is assumed that: $\beta_p=1-\alpha_p$.
7)	Contribution of total factor productivity (TFPz) in the GVA growth:	7)	Contribution of total factor productivity (TFP _P) in the GVA growth:
	theoretically, $\delta tfp_z = \Delta TFP_z/TFP_{z(-1)}$ [percentage points], calculated only		theoretically, $\delta tfp_p = \Delta TFP_P/TFP_{P(-1)}$ [percentage points], calculated only
	residually: $\delta tfp_z = \delta wdb - \alpha_z * \delta z - \beta_z * \delta k$.		residually: $\delta tfp_P = \delta wdb - \alpha_P^* \delta p - \beta_P^* \delta k$.
	Equation 1)=5)+6)+7) is fulfilled.		Equation 1)=5)+6)+7) is fulfilled.
	Decomposition of the real GVA growth rate per employed person into		Decomposition of the real GVA growth rate per working person into
	contributions of capital and TFP		contributions of capital and TFP
8)	Real GVA growth rate per employed person:	8)	Real GVA growth rate per working person:
	$\delta wdb/z = \Delta (WDB/Z)/(WDB_{(-1)}/Z_{(-1)})$ [percentages],		$\delta wdb/p = \Delta (WDB/P)/(WDB_{(-1)}/P_{(-1)})$ [percentages],
	Δ (WDB/Z) = WDB/Z – WDB ₍₋₁₎ /Z ₍₋₁₎ ; WDB is in constant prices, WDB ₍₋₁₎		Δ(WDB/P) = WDB/P – WDB ₍₋₁₎ /P ₍₋₁₎ ; WDB is in constant prices, WDB ₍₋₁₎
	is in current prices.		is in current prices.
9)	Real capital value growth rate per employed person: $\delta k/z = \Delta(K/Z)/(K_{(-)})$	9)	Real capital value growth rate per working person: $\delta k/p = \Delta(K/P)/(K_{()})$
	₁₎ / Z ₍₋₁₎) [percentages], Δ(K/Ζ) = K/Ζ – Κ ₍₋₁₎ / Ζ ₍₋₁₎ ; K is in constant prices,		₁₎ / P ₍₋₁₎) [percentages], Δ(K/P) = K/P – P ₍₋₁₎ / P ₍₋₁₎ ; K is in constant prices,
	K ₍₋₁₎ is in current prices.		K ₍₋₁₎ is in current prices;
10)	Contribution of the capital stock in GVA growth per employed person:	10) Contribution of capital stock in GVA growth per working person:
,	$\beta_z * \delta k/z = (\delta k/z) * [(1-UWP_z) + (1-UWP_{z(-1)})]/2 [percentage points], it is$,	$\beta_{P} \delta k/p = (\delta k/p)^{*}[(1-UWP_{P})+(1-UWP_{P(-1)})]/2$ [percentage points], it is
	assumed that: $\beta_z=1-\alpha_z$.		assumed that: $\beta_p=1-\alpha_p$.
11)	Contribution of the total factor productivity (TEP ₂) in the GVA growth per	11) Contribution of the total factor productivity (TEP _P) in the GVA growth per
,	employed person, theoretically $\delta tfp_z/z = \Delta (TFP_z/Z)/(TFP_z/z)/(z/z)$,	working person, theoretically $\delta tfp_{p}/p = \Delta (TFP_{p}/P)/(TFP_{p}/4)/P(4)$
	$-(\cdots 2^{-p}(\cdots 2^{-p}$		

[percentage points], calculated only residually: $\delta tfp_z/z$ = $\delta wdb/z - \beta_z * \delta k/z$.

Equation 8)=10)+11) is fulfilled.

Decomposition of the deviations from the national average GVA per employed person into contributions of capital and TFP

- 12) Deviation (O) from the national average GVA per employed person:
 O(WDB/Z) = (WDB_i/Z_i WDB/Z)/(WDB/Z) [percentages], where i is the variable for a given voivodship, for a given section or for a given voivodship and section.
- Deviation from the national average of the capital stock per employed person: O(K/Z) = (K_i/Z_i – K/Z)/(K/Z) [percentages].
- 14) Contribution of the capital stock in the deviation of the GVA from the national average per employed person: β_z*O(K/Z) = O(K/Z)*(1-UWP_z) [percentage points]; it is assumed that: β_z=1- α_z; α_z is not calculated intertemporally.
- 15) Contribution of the total factor productivity (TFP_z) in the deviation of the GVA from the national average per employed person, theoretically: $O(TFP_z/Z) = (TFP_{z,i}/Z_i - TFP_z/Z)/(TFP_z/Z)$ [percentage points], $O(TFP_z/Z) = O(WDB/Z) - \beta_z O(K/Z)$ is calculated only residually.

Decomposition of the changes in the deviations from the national average GVA per employed person into contributions of capital and TFP [percentage points], calculated only residually: $\delta tfp_P/p$ = $\delta wdb/p - \beta_P * \delta k/p$.

Equation 8)=10)+11) is fulfilled.

Decomposition of the deviations from the national average GVA per working person into contributions of capital and TFP

- 12) Deviation (O) from the national average GVA per working person:
 O(WDB/P) = (WDB_i/P_i WDB/P)/(WDB/P) [percentages], where i is the variable for a given voivodship, for a given section or for a given voivodship and section.
- Deviation from the national average of the capital stock per working person: O(K/P) = (K_i/P_i – K/P)/(K/P) [percentages].
- 14) Contribution of the capital stock in the deviation of the GVA from the national average per working person: $\beta_p * O(K/P) = O(K/P) * (1-UWP_P)$ [percentage points]; it is assumed that: $\beta_p = 1 \alpha_p$; α_p is not calculated intertemporally.
- 15) Contribution of the total factor productivity (TFP_P) in the deviation of the GVA from the national average per working person, theoretically O(TFP_P/P) = (TFP_P_i/P_i TFP_P/P)/(TFP_P/P) [percentage points], O(TFP_P/P) = O(WDB/P) β_p*O(K/P) is calculated only residually.

Equation 12)=14)+15) is fulfilled.

Decomposition of the changes in the deviations from the national average GVA per working person into contributions of capital and TFP

Equation 12)=14)+15) is fulfilled.

16) Change in the deviation from the national average GVA per employed person: Δ O(WDB/Z) = O(WDB/Z) – O(WDB/Z) ₍₋₁₎ [percentage points].	16) Change in the deviation from the national average GVA per working person: Δ O(WDB/P) = O(WDB/P) – O(WDB/P) ₍₋₁₎ [percentage points].
17) Change in the deviation from the national average capital stock per employed person: Δ O(K/Z) = O(K/Z) – O(K ₍₋₁₎ /Z ₍₋₁₎) [percentage points].	17) Change in the deviation from the national average capital stock per working person: $\Delta O(K/P) = O(K/P) - O(K_{(-1)}/P_{(-1)})$ [percentage points].
18) Contribution of the capital stock in the change in the deviation of the GVA from the national average per employed person, theoretically $\beta_z \Delta O(K/Z) = \Delta O(K/Z) (1-UWP_z) (1-UWP_{z(-1)})/2$ [percentage points]; it is assumed that $\beta_z = 1 - \alpha_z$; α_z is not calculated intertemporally;	18) Contribution of the capital stock in the change in the deviation of the GVA from the national average per working person, theoretically $\beta_{p} \Delta O(K/P) = \Delta O(K/P) [(1-UWP_{P})+(1-UWP_{P(-1)})]/2$ [percentage points]; it is assumed that $\beta_{p}=1-\alpha_{p}$; α_{p} is not calculated intertemporally;
19) Contribution of the total factor productivity (TFP _z) in the change in the deviation of the GVA from the national average per employed person, theoretically $\Delta O(TFP_z/Z) = \Delta (TFP_{z,i}/Z_i - TFP_z/Z)/(TFP_z/Z)$ [percentage points], calculated only residually: ΔO (TFP _z /Z) = $\Delta O(WDB/Z) - \beta_z \Delta O(K/Z)$; it is assumed that: β_z =1- α_z ; α_z is not calculated intertemporally;	19) Contribution of the total factor productivity (TFP _z) in the change in the deviation of the GVA from the national average per working person, theoretically $\Delta O(TFP_P/P) = \Delta(TFP_{P,i}/P_i - TFP_P/P)/(TFP_P/P)$ [percentage points], calculated only residually: ΔO (TFP _P /P) = $\Delta O(WDB/P) - \beta_P^* \Delta O(K/P)$; it is assumed that: $\beta_P = 1 - \alpha_P$; α_P is not calculated intertemporally;
Equation 16)=18)+19) is fulfilled	Equation 16)=18)+19) is fulfilled

Note. The periods are understood as yearly, i.e. subscript (-1) indicates the values for the previous year and values without subscripts refer to the current period. All values should be additionally indexed by sections, voivodships or sections and voivodships at the same time (possibly other selected aggregations) when they concern them. Gross value added (GVA) and capital (K) are not indexed, as they are the same for employed and working persons. The explanation of the symbols is provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Annotated explanation of the symbols used in Table 1

Symbol	Variable	Unit	Type of data	Notes
WDB	Gross value added (GVA)	Monetary	Input data from the Local	In terms of the project requirements, data by voivodships and
		units (e.g.	Data Bank (BDL) of	sections were available only at current prices; data at constant
		PLN)	Statistics Poland,	prices were estimated by means of transmission tables to

			computed in constant	Eurostat, broken down by divisions and sections
			prices	
WPz	Labour remuneration	Monetary	Input data from BDL of	Within the scope of the project, data by voivodships and
WP _P		units (e.g.	Statistics Poland,	sections were available only in current prices for employed
		PLN)	computed in constant	persons (Z); data in constant prices and for working persons
			prices and for working	(P) were estimated by means of transmission tables to
			persons	Eurostat
UWPz	Share of labour remuneration in GVA	Percentages	Computed result data	Used to calculate elasticities α_z and α_p
UWP _P				
ΔUWPz	Growth in the share of the labour remuneration	Percentage points	Computed result data	Optional additional information
ΔUWP _P	in GVA			
RKz	Gross capital profitability	Percentages	Computed result data	Ratio of income of capital owners (including the income from the
RK _P				self-employment - for employed persons Z) or of income from
				capital (without the income from the self-employment - for
				working persons P) to the value of capital
WKz	Capital remuneration	Percentages	Computed	Income of capital owners (including the income with from the
WK _P				self-employment (- for employed persons $- Z$) or income from
				capital (without the income from the self-employment - for
				working persons P)
ΔRKz	Change in the gross capital profitability	Percentage points	Computed result data	Applies to employed persons Z or working persons P per item
ΔRK _P				RK _Z and RK _P
δwdb	Real GVA growth rate (relative)	Percentages	Computed result data	Ratio of Δ WDB to GVA in current prices for the previous year;
				price comments as under item WDB
ΔWDB	Real GVA growth rate (absolute)	Monetary units(e.g.	Computed	Difference between GVA for the current year at constant
		PLN)		prices and GVA for the previous year at current prices
δwpz	Real labour remuneration growth rate (relative)	Percentages	Computed result data	Ratio of ΔWP to WP in current prices of the previous year;
δwp _p				price comments as under items $\boldsymbol{WP}_{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ and $\boldsymbol{WP}_{\boldsymbol{P}}$

ΔWPz	Real labour remuneration growth rate	Monetary	Computed	Difference between the current year's labour remuneration
ΔWP _P	(absolute)	units (e.g.		at constant prices and the previous year's labour
		PLN)		remuneration at current prices
Δwkz	Real capital remuneration growth rate (relative)	Percentages	Computed result data	Ratio of ΔWK to WK for the previous year; comment as under
Δwk _p				items WK _z and WK _P
ΔWKz	Real labour remuneration growth rate (absolute)	Monetary	Computed	Difference between the current year's capital remuneration
Δ₩Κ _Ρ		units (e.g.		at constant prices and the previous year's capital
		PLN)		remuneration at current prices
α _z *δwp _z	Contribution of labour remuneration in	Percentage	Computed result data	Real relative labour remuneration growth (δ wp) weighted by
α _p *δwp _p	GVA growth	points		share of labour in the economy $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ for a given aggregation; data
				for employed persons (Z) differ from those for working
				persons (P)
β _z *δwk _z	Contribution of labour remuneration in	Percentage	Theoretical value	In practice calculated residually as the difference between $\delta w db$
β _p *δwk _p	GVA growth	points		and α*δwp with appropriate Z or P indices
δwdb/z	Real GVA growth rate per employed or working	Percentages	Computed result data	GVA and the number of employed persons Z or working
δwdb/p	person (relative)			persons P change over time; price comments as under item
				WDB
δwp _z /z	Real labour remuneration growth rate per	Percentages	Computed result data	Labour remuneration and the number of employed persons Z
δwp _p /p	employed or working person (relative)			or working persons P change over time; price comments as
				under items WP _z and WP _P
δwk _z /z	Real capital remuneration growth rate per	Percentages	Computed result data	Capital remuneration and the number of employed persons Z
δwk _p /p	employed or working person (relative)			or working persons P change over time; price comments as
				under items WK z and WK P
α _z *δwp _z /z	Contribution of labour remuneration in GVA	Percentage	Computed result data	Labour remuneration, GVA and the number of employed
α _p *δwp _p /p	growth per employed or working person	points		persons Z or working persons P change over time; price
	(relative)			comments as under items $\textbf{WP}_{\textbf{Z}}$ and $\textbf{WP}_{\textbf{P}}$
β _z *δwk _z /z	Contribution of capital remuneration in GVA	Percentage	Theoretical value	In practice calculated residually as the difference between
β _p *δwk _p /p	growth per employed or working person	points		$\delta wdb/z$ and $\alpha^* \delta wp/z$ with appropriate Z or P indices
	(relative)			

O(WDB/Z)	Deviation from the national average GVA per	Percentages	Computed result data	GVA and the number of employed persons Z or working
O(WDB/P)	employed or working person			persons P change in space; price comments as under item
				WDB
O(WP _Z /Z)	Deviation from the national average labour	Percentages	Computed result data	Labour remuneration, GVA and the number of employed
O(WP _P /P)	remuneration per employed or working person			persons Z or working persons P change in space; price
				comments as under items $\boldsymbol{WP}_{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ and $\boldsymbol{WP}_{\boldsymbol{P}}$
O(WK _Z /Z)	Deviation from the national average	Percentages	Computed result data	Capital remuneration, GVA and the number of employed
O(WK _P /P)	capital remuneration per employed or			persons Z or working persons P change in space; price
	working person			comment as under items WK_{Z} and WK_{P}
α _z *O(WP _Z /Z)	Contribution of labour remuneration in the	Percentage points	Computed result data	Capital remuneration, GVA and the number of employed
α _p *O(WP _P /P)	deviation from the national average GVA per			persons Z or working persons P change in space;
	employed or working person			$\pmb{\alpha}$ (with appropriate indices) is not computed intertemporally
β _z *O(WK _z /Z)	Contribution of capital remuneration in the	Percentage	Theoretical value	In practice residually calculated result data as the difference
β _p *O(WK _P /P)	deviation from the national average GVA per			between $O(WDB/Z)$ and $\alpha^*O(WP/Z)$ with appropriate indices
	employed or working person			
ΔO(WDB/Z)	Change in the deviation from the national	Percentage	Computed result data	GVA and the number of employed persons Z or working
ΔO(WDB/P)	average GVA per employed or working person	points		persons P change over time and space
ΔO(WP _z /Z)	Change in the deviation from the national	Percentage	Computed result data	GVA and the number of employed persons Z or working
ΔO(WP _P /P)	average labour remuneration per employed	points		persons P change over time and space
	or working person			
ΔO(WK _z /Z)	Change in the deviation from the national	Percentage	Computed result data	GVA and the number of employed persons Z or working
ΔO(WK _P /P)	average capital remuneration per employed or	points		persons P change over time and space
	working person			
α _z *O(WP _Z /Z)	Contribution of labour remuneration in the	Percentage	Computed result data	GVA and the number of employed persons Z or working
α _p *O(WP _P /P)	change in the deviation from the national	points		persons P change over time and space
	average GVA per employed or working person			

β _z *O(WK _z /Z)	Contribution of capital remuneration in the	Percentage	Theoretical value	In practice residually calculated result data as the difference
β _p *O(WK _P /P)	change in the deviation from the national	points		between $\Delta O(WDB/Z)$ and $\alpha^* \Delta O(WP/Z)$ with appropriate indices
	average GVA per employed or working person			
2	Number of employed persons	Persons	Input data from BDL	Data available by volvodships and by sections or groups of
				sections to the extent required by the project
Р	Number of working persons	Persons	Computed	Data unavailable in BDL of Statistics Poland in the scope
				required by the project, calculated by means of transmission
				tables to Eurostat by sections and divisions
ΔΖ	Growth in the number of employed or working	Persons	Computed	-
ΔΡ	persons (absolute)			
δz	Growth in the number of employed or working	Percentages	Computed	-
δρ	persons (relative)			
α _z *δz	Labour contribution (employed persons Z or	Percentage	Computed result data	Elasticity $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ with appropriate indices is common for both types of
α _p *δp	working persons P) in GVA growth	points		decompositions, i.e. with and without a TFP extraction
K	Capital stock	Monotony unite	Input data from BDL in	In the scene of the project, data by volvedships and by
R.	Capital Slock			in the scope of the project, data by volvouships and by
		(e.g. PLN)	nistorical prices; in	sections are available only at historical prices; at current and
			constant and current	constant prices the data are estimated by means of
			prices – computed	transmission tables to Eurostat broken down by divisions and
				sections
ΔΚ	Growth in capital stock	Monetary units	Computed	Comments as under item K
		(e.g. PLN)		
β _z *δk	Capital contribution (employed persons Z or	Percentage	Computed result data	In this case, elasticity β is calculated as $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, with
β _a *δk	working persons P) in GVA growth	points		appropriate indices
1-h				

δtfpz	TFP contribution (employed persons Z or	Percentage	Computed result data	Result data calculated only residually as the difference
δtfp _p	working persons P) in GVA growth	points		between δwdb and $\alpha_z{}^*\delta z$ and $\beta_z{}^*\delta k$ for employed persons or
				between δwdb and $\alpha_p{}^*\delta p$ and $\beta_p{}^*\delta k$ for working persons
δk/z	Real growth in capital stock per employed or	Percentages	Computed result data	Capital K and the number of employed persons Z or working
δk/p	working person (relative)			persons P change over time; comments as under item ${\bf K}$
β _z *δk/z	Capital contribution in GVA growth per	Percentage	Computed result data	In this case elasticity β is calculated as $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, with
β _p *δk/p	employed persons Z or working persons P	points		appropriate indices
δtfn /z	TEP contribution in GVA growth per employed	Percentage	Computed result data	Result data calculated only residually as the difference
Stfp /p	persons Z or working persons P	nointe	Computed result data	hetwoon Swdb/z and a *5z/z and B *5k/z for employed
οup _p /p	persons 2 of working persons r	points		Detween $\nabla w db/z$ and $u_z \nabla z/z$ and $p_z \nabla v/z$ for employed
				persons of between owab/p and a_p op/p and p_p or p for
				working persons
O(K/Z)	Deviation in the capital value per employed	Percentages	Computed	Comments as under items 7 P and K
	porsons Z or working porsons P	reicentages	Computed	Comments as under items 2, F and K
0(101)				
β _z *O(K/Z)	Contribution of the deviation in the capital value	Percentage	Computed result data	Comments as under items Z , P and K ; in this case
β _p *O(K/P)	per employed persons Z or working persons P	points		elasticity β is calculated as $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, with appropriate
	from the national average to the deviation of			indices
	GVA per employed persons Z or working			
	persons P			
O(TFP _z /Z)	Contribution of the deviation in TFP per	Percentage	Computed result data	Result data calculated only residually as the difference
O(TFP _P /P)	employed persons Z or working persons P from	points		between O(WDB/Z) or O(WDB/P) and $\beta_z^*O(K/Z)$ or $\beta_p^*O(K/P)$
	the national average to the deviation of GVA per	•		
	employed persons Z or working persons P			

Δ Ο(Κ/Ζ)	Change in the deviation of the capital value per	Percentages	Computed	Comments as under items Z, P and K
ΔΟ(Κ/Ρ)	employed persons Z or working persons P			
β _z *ΔΟ(K/Z)	Contribution of the change in the deviation of	Percentage	Computed result data	Comments as under items Z, P and K; in this case
β _p *ΔΟ(K/P)	the capital value per employed persons Z or	points		elasticity β is calculated as $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, with appropriate
	working persons P from the national average to			indices
	the deviation of GVA per employed persons Z or	,		
	working persons P			
$\Delta O(TFP_z/Z)$	Contribution of the change in the deviation of	Percentage	Computed result data	Result data calculated only residually as the difference between
Δ O(TFP _P /P)	TFP per employed persons Z or working	points		Δ O(WDB/Z) or Δ O(WDB/P) and $\beta_z^*\Delta O(K/Z)$ or $\beta_p^*\Delta O(K/P)$
	persons P from the national average to the			
	deviation of GVA per employed persons Z or			
	working persons P			

Note. Index Z is the symbol for employed persons and index P is the symbol for working persons. GVA and capital are not indexed, as they are the same for employed and working persons. Δ denotes absolute growth, δ – relative growth ($\delta x = \Delta x/x$).